

APPLICATION NO.

09/516,337

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1430 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 wew.uppb.gev

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.

7590 08/19/2003

FILING DATE

03/01/2000

Seth Z Kalson Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 EXAMINER
TIEU, BINH KIEN

3761

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2643

42390.P7257

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Lior Horwitz

		Application No.		Applicant(s)
		09/516,337		HORWITZ ET AL.
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner		Art Unit
		BINH K. TIEU		2643
The MALING DATE of this c Period for Reply	communication appe	ars on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD FOR REPLY	IS SET TO EX	DIRE 3 MONTH	S) FROM
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply specified above is less the structure of the period for reply is specified above, the mean of the period for reply within the set or extended period. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 f this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply w aximum statutory period will bd for reply will, by statute, c e months after the mailing d	(a). In no event, howen within the statutory min apply and will expire ause the application to	ever, may a reply be tin imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from b become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠ Responsive to communicati	ion(s) filed on <u>01 Ma</u>	arch 2000 .		
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-fi	nal.	
3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with the	condition for allowan he practice under <i>E</i> .	ce except for fo x parte Quayle,	ormal matters, pi 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits i 153 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending				
4a) Of the above claim(s)		n from consider	ation.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe				
6) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected				
7) Claim(s) is/are object	ed to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	o restriction and/or o	election require	ment.	
9)☐ The specification is objected t	to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	_is/are: a)□ accepte	ed or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exa	miner.
Applicant may not request that	t any objection to the o	drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)☐ The proposed drawing correc	tion filed on i	s: a)□ approve	ed b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawing	• • • • • •		tion.	
12)☐ The oath or declaration is object	ected to by the Exar	niner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and	120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign p	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ No	one of:			
1. Certified copies of the	priority documents	have been rece	ived.	
2. Certified copies of the	priority documents	have been rece	ived in Applicati	on No
	e International Bure	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a				
a) The translation of the for 15) Acknowledgment is made of a	eign language provi	sional applicati	on has been rec	eived.
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO	•	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Actio	n Summary		Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 2643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-20 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Each of the claims recited the acronym "PHY". Since "PHY" is not a well-known acronym, it is required to be spelled out as "physical" or "physical layer" in accordance to the specification, line 9 on page 2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 18 recited the term "MAC" is not also the well-known acronym. It is required to spell the "MAC" as "media access control". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2643

4. Claims 1, 9-10, 17-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Citta (U.S. Pat. #: 4,190,862) in view of Parham (U.S. Pat. #: 4,159,448).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 17 and 20, Citta teaches a physical layer (PHY) or a receiver, as shown in figure 6, comprising:

a capacitor (i.e., capacitor 47);

a current source to charge the capacitor by conducting conduction current (i.e., current source 48); and

a transistor to discharge the capacitor for a discharge time interval by conducting a transistor conduction current, the transistor coupled to the capacitor so that the transistor conduction current decreases in magnitude as the capacitor discharge during the discharge time interval (i.e., transistor 43, col. 9, line 31 – col. 10, line 21).

It should be noticed that Citta fails to clearly teach the difference of the first and second voltages. However, Parham teaches such feature in col.11, line 57 – col.12, line 27 for a purpose of detecting designated signal.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of such difference of the first and second voltages, as taught by Parham, into view of Citta, in order to detect amplitude or pulses in the input signal applied to the input terminal.

Regarding claim 10, Citta further teaches the fair of transistors 68 and 64 and a current source transistor 43 as shown in figure 6.

Regarding claim 18, Citta further teaches limitations of the claims in col.11, lines 14-31.

Art Unit: 2643

5. Claims 2-8, 11-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Citta (U.S. Pat. #: 4,190,862) in view of Parham (U.S. Pat. #: 4,159,448) as applied to claims 1, 9, 17 and 20 above, and further in view of Li (U.S. Pat. #: 6,137,375).

Regarding claims 2-3, Citta and Parham, in combination, teaches all subject matters as claimed above, except or the transistor is an nMOSFET having a gate voltage responsive to the capacitor voltage difference. However, Li teaches such feature in col.9, line 21 – col.10, line 2 for a purpose of controlling the voltage in the loop circuit.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of such nMOSFET having a gate voltage responsive to the capacitor voltage difference, as taught by Li, into view of Citta and Parham in order to discharge the capacitor.

Regarding claims 4-8, 11-16 and 19 Li further teaches limitations of the claims in col.7, line 59 - col.10, line 2.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Newman et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 6,600,344) and Havens et al. (U.S. Pat. #: 6,348,816) each teaches an envelope detector for detecting the envelope of a differential voltage signal. They are not applied to this Office Action because their filing date is <u>later</u> than the filing of the instant application.

Art Unit: 2643

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Binh K. Tieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-3963 and E-mail address: BINH TIEU@USPTO GOV.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708 and IF PAPER HAS BEEN MISSED FROM THIS OFFICIAL ACTION PACKAGE, PLEASE CALL Customer Service at (703) 306-0377 FOR THE SUBSTITUTIONS OR COPIES.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist, tel. No. 703-305-4700).

BINH TIEU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2643

Date: August 11, 2003